632 ST. JOHN. XX.   
   
 11 But Mary stood without at the sepulehre weeping:   
 and as she wept, she stooped down and looked into the sepul-   
 chre, and 1 seeth two angels in white sitting, the one at   
 the head, and the other at the feet, where the body of   
   
 Jesus had lain. 33 And they say unto her, Woman, why   
 weepest thou? She saith unto them, Because they have   
 taken away my Lord, and I know not where they have   
 laid him. 1 [™ And] when she had thus said, she turned   
   
 herself back, and ! saw Jesus standing, and \* knew not that   
 eck xxl & 15 Jesus saith unto her, Woman, why   
 it was Jesus.   
 weepest thou? whom seekest thou? She, supposing ® Aim   
 to be the gardener, saith unto him, Sir, if thou have borne   
   
   
 1 pender, beholdeth. 1 omit.   
 2 render, that it was.   
   
 a new ray of the risen Sun of Righteous- forth and weep again, or further to seek   
 ness.” 10. went away again unto her Lord. Chrysostom’s reason is very   
 their own home] St. Luke, xxiii. 12, has beautiful, but perhaps hardly probable,   
 the very same expression; see there in from the fact that Mary on turning round   
 margin. This is remarkable, as he evi- did not recognize our Lord: “It seems to   
 dently has a fragment of the same inci- me that while she was saying these words,   
 dent. 11.] She had\_come with them, the sudden appearance of Christ behind   
 but more slowly. 12.] From what has her struck the angels, who saw their Lord,   
 been said above, my readers will not expect with amazement: and that they imme-   
 me to compare the angelic appearances in diately shewed, both by their posture and   
 the four Gospels. What wonder, if the by their look, that they saw the Lord:   
 heavenly hosts were variously and often and this caused Mary to turn round and   
 visible on this great day, when ‘the look behind her.” We need not surely   
 morning stars sang together, and all the enquire too minutely, why she did not   
 sons of God shouted for joy?? What know Him. The fact may be psychologi-   
 can be more accurate in detail than this cally accounted for—she did not expect   
 description of the vision of Mary? Every Him to be there, and was wholly preoccu-   
 word was no doubt carefully related to the pied with other thoughts: or, as Driiseke   
 Apostle, and as carefully recorded. And says, ‘Her tears wove a veil, which con-   
 all is significant: they are in white, be- cealed Him who stood before her. The   
 cause from the world of light: they sit, secking after the Dead prevents us from   
 as not defending, but peacefully watching seeing the Living.’ 15.] The same   
 the Body: at the Head and the Feet, for kind of repetition by the Lord of what the   
 the Body of the Lord was from head to angel had before said is found in Matt.   
 foot in the charge of His Father and of xxviii. 7—10. It is idle to enquire why   
 His servants. 13.] Here again the she thought Him to be the gardener: but   
 finest psychological truth underlies the I may once for all observe that we must   
 narrative. The other women (Mark, ver. believe the clothing of His risen Body to   
 5. Luke, ver. 5) were afraid at the vision have been that which He pleased to as-   
 but now Mery, having but one thought or sume; not earthly clothing, but perhaps   
 desire, to recover the lost Body of her some semblance of it. Certainly, in this   
 Lord, feels no fear. The angels ease, He was clothed ;—or she must at   
 doubtless are proceeding further to assure once have recognized Him. But see on the   
 her as they did the women before :—but words “she turned herself” below.   
 this is broken off by the appearance of the Sir] The Greck word rendered both “ Sir”   
 Lord Himself, or perhaps by Mary’s turn- and “ Lord” is one and the same through-   
 ing away. 14.] she turned herself out the New Test. We can only judge   
 back—having her attention attracted by from the circumstances in each case, which   
 consciousness of some one being present it represents. Here there can be no doubt,   
 near her—not perhaps by the approach of secing that she did not recognize her Lord,   
 Jesus. Or it might be with intent to go that it is merely the appellation of courtesy